

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|  |               |                      | www.uspto.gov           |                        |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |                         |                        |
| 10/642,877<br>34947 759                      | 08/18/2003    | Christian Wamprecht  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|  | 500           |                      | Mo6800N/LeA 34,848N     | 3372                   |
| LANXESS CORPORATION 111 RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                        |
|  |               |                      | NILAND, PATR            | NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS |
| PH ISBURGH,                                  | PA 15275-1112 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER           |
|  |               |                      | 1714                    |                        |
|  |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006 |                        |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/642,877        | WAMPRECHT ET AL. |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit         |  |
| Patrick D. Niland | 1714             |  |

|  |   | 741 01111   |   |
|--|---|---|---|
|  | Patrick D. Niland   | 1714  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS <ol> <li>         The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:     </li> </ol>                  | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o         | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)            |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPOP 7  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).   | g date of the final rejecti<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS F                | on.<br>ILED WITHIN                        |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi               | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) a |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to<br>within the time period set forth in 3  | avoid dismissal of th<br>7 CFR 41.37(a).                          | e appeal. Since                           |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re  | TE below); ducing or simplifying                                  | ·   |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | ected claims.   |   |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | ·   |   |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.   | ll be entered and an e  | explanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8 and 17-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the affiday  | it or other evidence is   | necessary and                             |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>y and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′                   | ls to provide a l).                       |
| 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach   | ned.                                      |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>  | t does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowar   | nce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | Patrick D. Niland<br>Primary Examiner                             |   |
|  |   | Art Unit: 1714  |   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

<sup>1</sup> Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

**Application No. 10/642,877** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly presented modification of the claimed NCO/OH ratios with "about" has not been previously considered with the other claimed limitations and therefore requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection of 12/1/05. The terminal disclaimer of 2/1/06 has not been considered yet by PTO personell because the fee therefore has yet to be charged. PTO rules require the examiner to act on this case today. Upon acceptance of the submitted terminal disclaimer, it will be acknowledged. Until then, the obviousness type double patenting rejection must be maintained.